

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box NSO Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,444	02/15/2002	Richard Brown	30006610-2	8754
7590 11/16/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			. AVELLINO, JOSEPH E	
P. O. Box 272400 3404 E. Harmony Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Intellectual Property Administration Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 October 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Examiner Joseph E. Aveilino The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 TCPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory prinds with apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory prinds with apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory prinds with apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the provision of the provision of the control of the provision of the period of this communication, and the period of the provision of the period of this communication, and the provision of						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estimates of time may be equilibritied the precisions of 3 CPR. 135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled districts, (6) MONTHS from the mailing data data than the control of the provision of 3 CPR. 135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled districts, (6) MONTHS from the mailing data of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the considered timely. If the period for reply within the statutory end will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing data of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by extended period will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search parties that mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search parties that the mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search parties that the mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search parties that the mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search parties that the mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search parties that the mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search parties and the mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search parties and the mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search parties and the mailing data of this communication, even if timely filled the parties of the mailing data o						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed uter 58 (c) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I Mo period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, state act or extended period for reply will be considered timely. If NO period for reply will be considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be state and or extended period for reply will be state period for reply will be considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be state of reply will be considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be state and the application to become ABANDONEO (36 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any series and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 October 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.2Z is/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) 1.2Z is/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) 1.1Z is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.1Z is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.1Z is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1.1S is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.1S is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.1S is/are allowed. 7) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1.1S is/ar						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after StK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the mastimum statutory uniform of thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If No period for reply specified above, the mastimum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causes the application to become ASMADONED (55 U.S.C. § 135). Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causes the application to become ASMADONED (55 U.S.C. § 135). Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causes the application to become ASMADONED (55 U.S.C. § 135). Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causes the application to become ASMADONED (55 U.S.C. § 135). Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causes the application to the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any extended period for reply will, by statute, causes the application to the drawing of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any extended period for reply will, by statute, causes the application to the drawing statute communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension in a for filed period for reply period will expire statute. Priod Claim(s) 1-12 is/are period to condition of a condition of a condition of the priod the condition of the drawing statute. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Evensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above is been than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above is the stan thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 October 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply secified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1/3-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27 are presented for examination; claims 1, 8, 13, and 21 independent. In response to the Restriction, dated March 10, 2005, Applicant has provisionally elected with traverse Group I, claims 1-12; claims 1 and 8 independent. Claims 13-27 are hereby withdrawn from examination as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Priority

2. Applicant's claim to priority under 35 USC 119 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tarbotton et al. (USPN 6,757,830) (hereinafter Tarbotton) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art found p. 5, line 31 to p. 6, line 14 (hereinafter AAPA).

4. Referring to claim 1, Tarbotton discloses an email handling method, comprising the step of storing an email in a compartment (i.e. dirty mail store 16) of a compartmented operating system (i.e. AV sys) (Figure 2; col. 5, lines 60-65).

Tarbotton does not specifically disclose the compartmented operating system is of the type having mandatory access controls to each of a plurality of predetermined

Art Unit: 2143

recitation) (col. 2, ¶ 3).

resources of the computing platform hosting the operating system enforced by a kernel of the operating system to ensure that resources from one compartment of the OS cannot interfere with resources from another compartment of the operating system.

AAPA discloses that these features of a compartmented operating system have been well known for several years for handling military information (col. 5, line 31 to p. 6, line 1) and that compartmented operating systems of this nature can be applied to the internet (p. 6, line 10-14 and incorporated NPL by Dalton and Griffin cited by Applicant in IDS). By this rationale, one of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Tarbotton to include the features of AAPA in order to allow the

compartmented operating system to act as an application gateway and make it easier to

administer and to detect attempts at an attack as supported by Dalton ("Applying

Militarty Grade Security to the Internet, cited by Applicant in IDS, included in AAPA

Page 3

- 5. Referring to claim 2, Tarbotton discloses storing an email in a compartment with other emails (it is inherent that this feature is included in Tarbotton since all emails are included in the dirty mail store).
- Referring to claim 3, Tarbotton discloses storing the email in an individual compartment (i.e. an individual compartment of the antivirus system known as the dirty mail store 16, it is not stored in any other compartments such as AV sys rules 22, scan engine 18, or virus definition data 20) (Figure 2; ref. 16).

'n

Art Unit: 2143

7. Referring to claim 4, Tarbotton discloses assessing the email according to a security policy (i.e. holding the email for a set amount of time based on the characteristics of the email) (Figure 3; ref. 32, 34, 38).

Page 4

- 8. Referring to claim 5, Tarbotton discloses determining a security status for the email (i.e. determine mail latency delay) (Figure 3, ref. 32; col. 7, lines 13-45).
- 9. Referring to claim 6, Tarbotton discloses the invention substantively as described in claims 1 and 8. Tarbotton does not specifically state applying a security tag to the email denoting the security status, however does disclose that anti-virus or anti-spam actions are taken, which can comprise disinfecting, blocking, deleting, etc. (col. 6, lines 44-55). In order to determine if an email has already been scanned and the results of said scan, one of ordinary skill would understand it would be obvious to modify the teaching of Tarbotton to include a security tag. By this rationale, "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing for a security tag to an email denoting the security status is well known and advantageous in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Tarbotton to include a security tag denoting the security status in order to easily determine if the email poses a security threat and what to do if it contains matter hazardous to the network, thereby reducing overall complexity of the system and allowing for future upgrades and replacements to be easily accomplished.

Art Unit: 2143

10. Claims 7-12 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed March 30, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. Applicant requests reinstatement of Group II claims 13-27. Applicant's request is denied because Group I is a separate invention of Group II. Group I is directed towards storing the email in the compartment, whereas Group II is directed towards navigating and opening an email in the compartment (i.e. no recitation as to how the email was received or stored in the compartment). Although these two groups are related, it places undue burden for the examiner to search both inventions. Group II would require further searching in class/subclasses which deal with the retrieval of data, specifically 707/3-6, and 10. As such the restriction is proper and is upheld.
- 13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

Page 6

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 15. It is still the Examiner's position that Applicant has not yet submitted claims drawn to limitations, which define the operation and apparatus of Applicant's disclosed invention in manner, which distinguishes over the prior art. As it is Applicant's right to continue to claim as broadly as possible their invention. It is also the Examiner's right to continue to interpret the claim language as broadly as possible. It is the Examiner's position that the detailed functionality (i.e. defining what Applicant means by a compartmented operating system as well as how the data is stored and what happens when it is stored) that allows for Applicant's invention to overcome the prior art used in the rejection, fails to differentiate in detail how these features are unique
- 16. Applicant employs broad language, which includes the use of word, and phrases, which have broad meanings in the art. As the claims breadth allows multiple interpretations and meanings, which are broader than Applicant's disclosure, the Examiner is forced to interpret the claim limitations as broadly and as reasonably possible, in determining patentability of the disclosed invention. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir.1993).

Art Unit: 2143

Failure for Applicant to significantly narrow definition/scope of the claims and supply arguments commensurate in scope with the claims implies the Applicant intends broad interpretation be given to the claims. The Examiner has interpreted the claims with scope parallel to the Applicant in the response, and reiterates the need for the Applicant to more clearly and distinctly, define the claimed invention.

17. Applicant has failed to seasonably challenge the Examiner's assertions of well known subject matter in the previous Office action(s) pursuant to the requirements set forth under MPEP §2144.03. A "seasonable challenge" is an explicit demand for evidence set forth by Applicant in the next response. Accordingly, the claim limitations the Examiner considered as "well known" in the first Office action are now established as admitted prior art of record for the course of the prosecution. See In re Chevenard, 139 F.2d 71, 60 USPQ 239 (CCPA 1943).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2143

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 4, 2005

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER